




#### **Binding Antibodies: Assay Methodologies, Screening Confirmation, Characterization of Anti-Drug-Antibodies**

EIP Open Symposium München 2013

Daniel Kramer, Global DMPK, Merck Serono, Germany












## 1<sup>st</sup> Tier: Screening Assay Radio-Immunoprecipitation (RIP)








# 1<sup>st</sup> Tier: Screening Assay Radio-Immunoprecipitation (RIP)

- Advantages
  - Sensitivity
  - Rather high drug tolerance
- Disadvantages:
  - Low throughput
  - Restricted availability of CROs
  - Specificity (prone to artefacts)
  - Radiolabelling process can mask/denature epitopes recognized by anti-drug antibodies
  - Protein A/G are known of having different affinities to different isotypes





#### 1<sup>st</sup> Tier: Screening Assay Direct ELISA



Immobilize drug

Add serum containing Detect with enzyme labeled anti-drug antibodies polyclonal secondary antibody





## 1<sup>st</sup> Tier: Screening Assay Direct ELISA


- Advantages:
  - Sensitivity
  - Commercial available secondary antibodies

#### Disadvantages:

- Source of the positive control has to be the same as that of the antidrug antibodies
- Specificity (unspecific binding to matrix components)
- Restricted detection of low-affinity antibodies












- Advantages
  - High throughput
  - Specificity (two-fold binding of drug required for signal)
  - Possibility to use any positive control binding to the drug (independent of species)
- Disadvantages
  - Sensitivity (special orientation of immobilized drug required)
  - Restricted detection of low-affinity antibodies
  - Biotinylation might mask/denature epitopes recognized by anti-drug antibodies













#### Masking of binding epitopes by biotin














- Advantages
  - Electrochemiluminescence technology offers sensitivity and large dynamic range
  - Less washing steps allow the detection of low affinity anti-drug antibodies
  - Better tolerance for drug than ELISA
  - Possibility for multiplexing (epitope mapping)
- Disadvantages
  - The use of two conjugated reagents increases the risk of masking of binding epitopes













#### **Drug Interference**

Positive samples were spiked with increasing amounts of drug and analyzed in Mesoscale and (bridging) ELISA










Injection of serum containing anti-drug antibodies



















- Advantages:
  - Large dynamic range
  - No secondary reagents required
  - Detection of low affinity antibodies
  - Sensograms include information about affinity of anti-drug antibodies
  - Easy procedure for isotyping
  - Easy procedure for epitope mapping
- Disadvantages:
  - Structure of drug might be influenced by chemical coupling
  - Less sensitive than ELISA
  - Time consuming
  - Costs






Sensograms contain information about affinity of anti-drug antibodies







#### Sensitivity / Dynamic range








#### 1<sup>st</sup> Tier: Screening Assay Biacore Drug Interference


 Positive samples were spiked with increasing amounts of drug and analyzed in Biacore and (bridging) ELISA








#### 1<sup>st</sup> Tier: Screening Assay Biacore Low Affinity Antibodies








Epitope Mapping








#### 1<sup>st</sup> Tier: Screening Assay Gyros















# 1<sup>st</sup> Tier: Screening Assay Gyros

- Advantages:
  - The Gyros technology offers sensitivity and large dynamic range
  - Detection of low affinity antibodies (homogenous format)
  - Rather high drug tolerance (homogenous format)
  - Requires only small sample volumes
  - Epitope mapping possible
  - High throughput
  - Automatization reduces variability (less manual pipetting steps)
- Disadvantages:
  - The use of two conjugated reagents increases the risk of masking of binding epitopes
  - Costs
  - Carry over






#### 1<sup>st</sup> Tier: Screening Assay Cut-Point

**Problem:** Immunogenicity is a relative thing => criteria for positive samples needed


- Determination of the "non specific background" (NSB) by testing of 50 serum samples of untreated animals or patients on three different days
- Cut-Point: NSB + 1.645 x Standard Deviation (=> 5 % false positives)
- Positive: Response > Cut-Point







#### 1<sup>st</sup> Tier: Screening Assay Some Statistics








#### 1<sup>st</sup> Tier: Screening Assay Normalization of Cut-Point

**Problem:** Usually assay signal will vary between runs => Cut-Point normalization necessary



Normalization factor = relative response Cut-Point – relative response negative control


For each batch the normalization factor is added to the relative response of the negative control to set the cutpoint





#### 2<sup>nd</sup> Tier: Confirmatory Assay

- Due to the 5% false-positive rate built into the screening cut point, samples showing a response at or above the assay cut-point can just be considered "putative positive" for the presence of BAbs.
- The confirmation of true positives among the putative positive samples requires the demonstration of specific binding to the drug:
  - A putative positive sample is re-tested in the presence and absence of an excess of drug.
  - The specificity cut point is defined as the percent inhibition at or above which a sample is considered as "confirmed positive".







## 2<sup>nd</sup> Tier: Confirmatory Assay Specificity Cut-Point


- Spike all individual samples from the cut-point determination (preferable in the same experiment) with an excess amount of drug and calculate the percent inhibition per sample: 100 x [1-(spiked/unspiked)]
- Calculate the specificity cut-point from the percent inhibition of all samples: Upper bound of a one-sided 99.9 % prediction interval (parametric: mean + 3.09 x SD or non-parametric: 99.9<sup>th</sup> percentile)
- A real sample in study showing a higher % inhibition after spiking of drug than the specificity cut-point is defined as "confirmed positive"





## 3<sup>rd</sup> Tier: Titration

- Aim:
  - Retrieve quasi-quantitative information for confirmed positive samples
- Procedure:
  - Serial dilution of confirmed positive samples
  - Titer = -log dilution factor of the last dilution that tests positive








### Challenges In Immunogenicity Testing Positive Control

- In contrast to PK assays the analyte is not available in purified form
- Serum from animals (e.g. goats) hyperimmunized with the drug is used as control instead
- This surrogate control substantially differs from the measured human anti-drug antibodies in respect to affinity and avidity
- Consequently no exact numbers (e.g. for sensitivity) can be reported for Immunogenicity assays (but numbers relative to the positive control)








#### Challenges in Immunogenicity Testing Drug Interference

 The presence of major amounts of drug interferes with the detection of anti-drug antibodies and leads to "false negatives"



- Solutions:
  - Wash-Out Samples
    - Draw blood samples for the detection of antidrug antibodies several days/weeks after the last treatment (5-6 x t1/2)
    - Acid dissociation of the immunecomplexes


of drug





#### **Wash-Out Samples**


- Draw blood samples for the detection of anti-drug antibodies several days/ weeks after the last treatment (5-6 x t<sub>1/2</sub>)
- Problem: A transient immune response might not be detected in wash-out samples => acid dissociation assays might be needed







#### **ACE Acid Dissociation Assay**







# **THANK YOU !**