

Non-clinical Immunogenicity Risk Assessment (NCIRA)

Sebastian Spindeldreher

on behalf of the NCIRA working group members

AAPS Therapeutic Product Immunogenicity Update

Vibha Jawa

Chair TPI Community

EIP Open Symposium, Lisbon, 18th February 2020



NCIRA Members

- Anaïs Manin (Abzena)
- Anja ten Brinke (Sanquin)
- Åsa Marknell-Dewitt (Thermofisher)
- Axel Ducret (Roche)
- Campbell Bunce* (Abzena)
- Chloé Ackaert (immuneXperts)
- Diana Montgomery (MSD)
- Grzegorz Terszowski (Novartis)
- Juliana Bessa (Roche)
- Karen Heyninck (Ablynx)
- Karolina Osterund (ThermoFisher)
- Kasper Lamberth (NovoNordisk)
- Laetitia Sordé (Novimmune)
- Marie-Ange Buyse (Ablynx)
- Mark Kroenke (Amgen)
- Noel Smith (Lonza)
- Pedro Paz (Bayer)
- Sebastian Spindeldreher* (iBiologix)
- Sofie Pattjin (immunXperts)
- Sophie Tourdot (Pfizer)
- Tim Hickling (Pfizer)
- Vibha Jawa (MSD)

* working group leads

Key Deliverables

- An evaluated position on the **limits of ex vivo and in vivo assays to assess antigenicity** of biotherapeutics, cell and gene therapies
- An evaluated position on the utility of pre-clinical/non-clinical assays to **inform critical quality attributes** such as contaminations, host cell proteins, aggregation, glycosylation, deamidation, etc.
- Proposal for **best assay combinations** to more robustly inform drug design, development, lead selection and risk assessment
- **Increase understanding** of the drivers of immunogenicity – innate response, antigen processing & presentation, T & B cell epitopes, immune regulation & immune modulation

Working group activities

- Regular monthly conference calls, since January bi-weekly
- F2F meetings at EIP symposium (2019 and 2020)
- In 2020, additional F2F meeting mid-year
- Current main activity is drafting the manuscript on

Assay format diversity in Pre-clinical Immunogenicity risk assessment: Towards a possible harmonization of antigenicity assays

Problem statement

- Various pre-clinical evaluation tools (*in silico*, *ex vivo* and *in vivo*) are commonly used to assess immunogenicity risk (e.g. ADA).
- Challenges: inappropriate predictions, pharmacology of drug leading to false positives or negatives, HLA diversity, specific CD4⁺ T cell frequency, assay sensitivity etc.
- Robust, consistent and, where feasible, standardized approaches and methods are required to better inform and mitigate risk.

Aim

- Terminology
- Presentation of methods, assay principles and examples of use
 - Antigen presentation
 - T cell assays
 - B cell assays
 - *In vivo* models
- Description of drawbacks and difficulties in comparison of various method addressing the same part of immune response
- Importance of relevant controls
- What can we “predict” and more importantly, what we can’t “predict”
- What be done when qualifying assays
- Workflow example – which, when, what?
- Timing vs quality vs timelines

Status

- Extensive debate on scope and content of manuscript
 - Knowledge and experience sharing
 - Is harmonization of methods feasible and reasonable?
 - To which level of recommendations can we go in the manuscript?
- Significant collection of information gathered:
Draft manuscript (not all sections completed) with more than 11.000 words compiled
- Potential journals for publication identified and editors' interest confirmed

Future perspectives

- Priority: complete manuscript!
- Start discussion on new topics:
 - Path to standardization of assay controls?
 - Cell & Gene therapies
 - Vectors
 - Novel nucleic acid formats
 - Transgene immunogenicity
 - Translatability of animal models
 - Gene editing
 - Antigenicity assessment for synthetic peptides to enable abbreviated new drug application (ANDA)
 - Artificial intelligence and reverse translation in the context of immunogenicity
- Workshop on immunogenicity risk assessment in EU and US
- Outreach to AAPS, BIO, IQ, IMI-ARDAT and other organizations

Do you have questions or suggestions?

Do you want to join the EIP working group?

Contact

Campbell Bunce(campbell.bunce@abzena.com)

Sebastian Spindeldreher (sebastian.spindeldreher@ibiologix.com)

or anybody you know from the working group

Thank you